

KEK-TH-599

YITP-98-70

UT-829

Large Squark and Slepton Masses for the First-Two Generations in the Anomalous U(1) SUSY Breaking Models

J. Hisano^(a), Kiichi Kurosawa^(b), and Yasunori Nomura^(c)

(a) Theory Group, KEK, Oho 1-1, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0801, Japan

(b) YITP, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

(c) Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

Abstract

Considering that the soft SUSY breaking scalar masses come from a vacuum expectation value of the D -term for an external gauge multiplet, the renormalization of the scalar masses is related to the gauge anomaly. Then, if the external gauge symmetry is anomaly-free and has no kinetic mixing with the other U(1) gauge symmetries, the scalar masses are non-renormalized at all orders assuming that the gaugino masses are negligibly small compared with the scalar masses. Motivated by this, we construct models where the sfermion masses for the first-two generations are much heavier than the other superparticles in the minimal SUSY standard model in a framework of the anomalous U(1) mediated SUSY breaking. In these models we have to introduce extra chiral multiplets with the masses as large as those for the first-two generation sfermions. We find that phenomenologically desirable patterns for the soft SUSY breaking terms can be obtained in the models.

Supersymmetry (SUSY) is a solution to the naturalness problem in the standard model (SM) with the fundamental Higgs boson. If the SUSY breaking scale is at most of the order of 100 GeV or 1 TeV, the quadratic divergent radiative correction to the Higgs boson mass is regularized by the scale. On the other hand, introduction of the soft SUSY breaking terms to the minimal SUSY SM (MSSM) may lead to various flavor problems. First, flavor-changing neutral current (FCNC) processes, such as K^0 - $\overline{K}^0$ mixing and $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$, are induced by the arbitrary squark and slepton masses. Second, the CP violating phases in the SUSY breaking parameters may generate the electric dipole moments (EDMs) of neutron and electron beyond the experimental bounds.

It is well-known that these problems can be solved if the first and second generation sfermions are much heavier than the other superparticles in the MSSM [1]. Also, these masses are irrelevant to the naturalness for the Higgs boson masses at one-loop level. However, these mass parameters are coupled with each other in the renormalization group (RG) equations at two-loop level. This comes from the ϵ -scalar contribution in the $\overline{DR'}$ scheme [2]. As a result, if the SUSY breaking masses are generated at high energy scale, such as the Grand Unified Theory (GUT) scale ($\sim 10^{16}$ GeV) or the gravitational scale ($M_* \sim 10^{18}$ GeV), the larger soft SUSY breaking masses for the first-two generations give a large negative contribution to those for the third generation, and the $SU(3)_C$ color may be broken [3]. This is a big obstacle to construct models where the first-two generation sfermions are much heavier than the other superparticles.

The structure of the RG equations for the soft SUSY breaking scalar masses can be understood by introduction of an external (spurious) $U(1)$ gauge symmetry [4]. The soft SUSY breaking scalar masses can be regarded as a non-vanishing D -term for the external $U(1)$ gauge multiplet. As will be shown later, if the Lagrangian is invariant under the external $U(1)$ gauge symmetry and if the gauge symmetry is anomaly free for the SM gauge groups and has no kinetic mixing with them, the SUSY breaking scalar masses are RG invariant at any orders, assuming that the gaugino masses are negligibly small compared with the scalar masses. In that case, the dangerous contribution in the RG equations for the sfermion masses leading to

the color breaking (ϵ -scalar contribution in the $\overline{DR'}$ scheme) automatically vanishes.

In this letter we show that if the external U(1) gauge symmetry is anomaly-free, the SUSY breaking scalar masses are non-renormalized at all orders neglecting the gaugino masses. We construct models where the sfermions of the first-two generations are much heavier than the other superparticles in the MSSM in a framework of the anomalous U(1)_X mediated SUSY breaking [5, 6]. In these models, we have to introduce additional chiral multiplets with the masses as large as those for the sfermions of the first-two generations.

First, we review the RG equations for the soft SUSY breaking scalar masses in SUSY gauge theories [7, 4]. Here, we use the Wilsonian Lagrangian for simplicity. The bare Lagrangian for the SU(N_c) SUSY gauge theory with the soft SUSY breaking terms is written as

$$\mathcal{L} = \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \int d^2\theta \, S \mathcal{W}^\alpha(V) \mathcal{W}_\alpha(V) + \text{h.c.} \right\} + \int d^4\theta \Phi_r^\dagger e^{2V+2q_r U} \Phi_r, \quad (1)$$

introducing a chiral superfield S and a U(1) vector superfield U as external fields. Here, Φ_r is a chiral multiplet belonging to the r representation of the SU(N_c) with the external U(1) charge of q_r , and $\mathcal{W}^\alpha(V)$ is the field strength for the SU(N_c) gauge multiplet V ($V \equiv \sum_a T_a^{(r)} V_a$). The generators $T_a^{(r)}$ of the SU(N_c) are normalized as $T_r = 1/2$ ($\text{Tr } T_a^{(r)} T_b^{(r)} = T_r \delta_{ab}$) in the case of r being the fundamental representation. The vacuum expectation value (VEV) for the scalar component of S corresponds to the gauge coupling constant (g) and that for the F -term to the gaugino mass ($m_{\tilde{g}}$) as

$$\langle S \rangle = \frac{1}{g^2} (1 - 2m_{\tilde{g}} \theta^2). \quad (2)$$

Also, the non-vanishing D -term VEV $\langle D_U \rangle$ for U leads to the soft SUSY breaking scalar masses m_r^2 for Φ_r , which are proportional to q_r as¹

$$m_r^2 = q_r \langle -D_U \rangle. \quad (3)$$

If the bare Lagrangian is invariant under the external U(1) symmetry and the

¹ The convention for the sign of the D -term is as in Ref. [8].

U(1) symmetry is anomaly free for the $SU(N_c)$ gauge symmetry,

$$\sum_r m_r^2 T_r \propto \sum_r q_r T_r = 0, \quad (4)$$

the scalar masses are RG invariant at all orders due to the Ward-Takahashi identity assuming that the gaugino mass is negligible. In fact, the RG equations for the SUSY breaking scalar masses at two-loop level in the $\overline{DR'}$ scheme are

$$\mu \frac{dm_r^2}{d\mu} = \frac{8g^4}{(16\pi^2)^2} C_r \sum_s m_s^2 T_s, \quad (5)$$

ignoring terms proportional to m_g^2 . Here, C_r is the Casimir coefficient of the r representation. The right-hand side of this equation, that is the ϵ -scalar contribution, vanishes if relation (4) is satisfied.

When another $U(1)'$ gauge symmetry exists and Φ_r has its charge q'_r , the conditions that the kinetic mixing between the internal and external U(1) gauge symmetries is prevented are

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_r m_r^2 q'_r &\propto \sum_r q_r q'_r = 0, \\ \sum_r m_r^2 q'_r \ln Z_r &\propto \sum_r q_r q'_r \ln Z_r = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Here, Z_r is the wave function renormalization for Φ_r .

From the above discussion, if the soft SUSY breaking scalar masses satisfy the relations (4, 6), the mass spectrum that the first-two generation sfermions are much heavier than the other superparticles in the MSSM is RG stable. To satisfy the relations, however, we have to introduce additional chiral multiplets which belong to nontrivial representations of the SM gauge groups with the negative SUSY breaking scalar mass squared. In order not to break $SU(3)_C$ or $U(1)_{EM}$, they have to have the supersymmetric mass terms. The supersymmetric masses should be of the same order as the SUSY breaking masses for the first-two generations so that the ϵ -scalar contribution is not generated after decoupling of the additional chiral multiplets.

Now, we construct models which have the above properties. We adopt the anomalous $U(1)_X$ gauge symmetry, whose anomalies are canceled by the Green-Schwarz mechanism [9], as an origin of the SUSY breaking and identify it as the

above external $U(1)$ symmetry. As will be discussed later, non-trivial anomalies for the $U(1)_X$ do not mean that the $U(1)_X$ gauge symmetry has an anomalous matter content for the SM gauge groups below the breaking scale of the $U(1)_X$ symmetry. In the anomalous $U(1)_X$ SUSY breaking models, the Fayet-Iliopoulos term ξ having mass dimension one is generated of the order of $O(10^{-(1-2)})M_*$ [10] and induces the spontaneous SUSY breaking, so that the D -term contribution to the SUSY breaking scalar masses may dominate over the F -term contribution suppressed by M_* . The correct treatment of the dynamics requires to include the dilaton field S in the potential [11]. Even then, depending on the Kähler potential for the dilaton field,² the SUSY breaking can be dominated by the D -term and the contribution from the dilaton field is also negligible [12]. We assume that it is the case and neglect the dilaton field S , hereafter.

We choose the anomalous $U(1)_X$ charges for quarks and leptons in the first-two generations to be 1 and those for the other chiral multiplets in the MSSM to be 0 for simplicity. The charge assignment that the first-two generations have the same $U(1)_X$ charges helps to avoid dangerous FCNC processes [6]. The extension to other cases is straightforward. As we mentioned above, we have to introduce additional chiral multiplets, ψ_{ex} and $\bar{\psi}_{\text{ex}}$, with the supersymmetric masses to satisfy the relations (4, 6). In order not to break the success of the gauge coupling unification, we introduce n_5 pairs of complete $SU(5)_{\text{GUT}}$ multiplets in the fundamental representations,³

$$n_5 [\psi_{\text{ex}}(\mathbf{5})_{-4/n_5} + \bar{\psi}_{\text{ex}}(\mathbf{5}^*)_{-4/n_5}], \quad (8)$$

where the numbers in parentheses correspond to representations of the $SU(5)_{\text{GUT}}$ and the subscripts of parentheses denote the $U(1)_X$ charges.⁴ If we take $n_5 > 4$, the

² In general, the Kähler potential for the dilaton field S can be written as $K_S(S + S^\dagger - \delta_{GS}X)$. Here, X is the $U(1)_X$ gauge multiplet and δ_{GS} is a constant. The D -term dominated SUSY breaking occurs when $K''_S \gg K'_S$, where prime denotes derivative with respect to S .

³ Alternatively, we can also introduce

$$n_{10} [\psi_{\text{ex}}(\mathbf{10})_{-4/3n_{10}} + \bar{\psi}_{\text{ex}}(\mathbf{10}^*)_{-4/3n_{10}}], \quad (7)$$

or combinations of Eq. (7) and Eq. (8) maintaining the relations (4, 6).

⁴ In fact, this charge assignment satisfies only the one-loop and two-loop parts of Eqs. (6),

SM gauge couplings blow up below the GUT scale.

Before constructing explicit models, we review the anomalous $U(1)_X$ mediated SUSY breaking and sketch how to realize the above idea. We introduce a SM singlet ϕ field with $U(1)_X$ charge $-4/n_5$, and take the $SU(N_c)$ gauge theory with one flavor Q and $\bar{Q}$ both of which have $U(1)_X$ charges $4/n_5$ [5]. The tree-level superpotential is given by

$$W = \frac{Q\bar{Q}}{M_*} \left(\frac{f_\phi}{2} \phi^2 + f_\psi \psi_{\text{ex}} \bar{\psi}_{\text{ex}} \right). \quad (9)$$

The dynamical superpotential for Q and $\bar{Q}$ induced by the $SU(N_c)$ gauge interaction [13] leads to $\langle Q\bar{Q} \rangle \neq 0$. Then, the D-flatness condition of the $U(1)_X$

$$\xi^2 - \frac{4}{n_5} |\phi|^2 - \frac{4}{n_5} |\psi_{\text{ex}}|^2 - \frac{4}{n_5} |\bar{\psi}_{\text{ex}}|^2 + \dots = 0 \quad (10)$$

is not compatible with the F -flatness conditions and SUSY is broken. Moreover, if f_ψ is larger than f_ϕ , the VEVs of the ψ_{ex} and $\bar{\psi}_{\text{ex}}$ fields vanish and the ϕ field gets the VEV of order ξ , so that the SM gauge groups remain unbroken. It can be seen by looking that the scalar potential V behaves as

$$V \sim \left| \frac{Q\bar{Q}}{M_*} \right|^2 (|f_\phi \phi|^2 + |f_\psi \psi_{\text{ex}}|^2 + |f_\psi \bar{\psi}_{\text{ex}}|^2), \quad (11)$$

satisfying the condition Eq. (10) to the leading order of $\langle Q\bar{Q} \rangle / M_*^2$.

At the minimum of the potential, the D -term D_X of the $U(1)_X$ and the supersymmetric mass M_{ex} for the extra chiral multiplets ψ_{ex} and $\bar{\psi}_{\text{ex}}$ are written by using the condensation of $Q\bar{Q}$ as

$$\langle -D_X \rangle = \frac{n_5}{4} \left| \frac{f_\phi \langle Q\bar{Q} \rangle}{M_*} \right|^2, \quad M_{\text{ex}} = f_\psi \frac{\langle Q\bar{Q} \rangle}{M_*}.$$

The nonvanishing D-term generates the SUSY breaking scalar masses according to Eq. (3). Note that the squared masses for the scalar components of the ψ_{ex} and $\bar{\psi}_{\text{ex}}$ fields given by $|M_{\text{ex}}|^2 - 4 \langle -D_X \rangle / n_5$ are positive under the condition $f_\psi > f_\phi$. We find that the masses for squarks and sleptons of the first-two generations are that is, $\sum_r q_r q'_r = 0$ and $\sum_r C_r q_r q'_r = 0$. Thus, the RG equations for the SUSY breaking scalar masses receive three-loop contributions of order $O(\alpha_3 \alpha_2 \alpha_Y)$. These contributions, however, cause no phenomenological problem.

the same order as those of the scalar components of additional chiral multiplets ψ_{ex} and $\bar{\psi}_{\text{ex}}$, so that the relations (4, 6) are satisfied at almost all the energy from high energy scale down to the weak scale. Thus, there are no large radiative corrections to the third generation sfermions due to the RG equations.

It is essential for the RG stability that supersymmetric masses for the ϕ and ψ_{ex} , $\bar{\psi}_{\text{ex}}$ fields are comparable, that is,

$$\left\langle \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial \phi^2} \right\rangle \sim \left\langle \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial \psi_{\text{ex}} \partial \bar{\psi}_{\text{ex}}} \right\rangle. \quad (12)$$

Then, the $U(1)_X$ charge for the ϕ field should be equal to those for the ψ_{ex} and $\bar{\psi}_{\text{ex}}$ fields as long as the couplings f_ϕ and f_ψ are the same order. Indeed, for the purpose of the SUSY breaking only, we could also introduce the superpotential

$$W = \frac{Q\bar{Q}}{M_*} \left(\frac{f_\phi}{(n+2)!} \frac{\phi^{n+2}}{M_*^n} + f_\psi \psi_{\text{ex}} \bar{\psi}_{\text{ex}} \right), \quad (13)$$

assigning an appropriate $U(1)_X$ charge to the singlet field ϕ . In this case, however, the soft SUSY breaking scalar masses $\sim \sqrt{-D_X} \simeq \langle \partial^2 W / \partial \phi^2 \rangle$ are smaller than the supersymmetric mass $M_{\text{ex}} \simeq \langle \partial^2 W / \partial \psi_{\text{ex}} \partial \bar{\psi}_{\text{ex}} \rangle$ for the ψ_{ex} , $\bar{\psi}_{\text{ex}}$ fields by a factor of $(\langle \phi \rangle / M_*)^n \simeq (\xi / M_*)^n$. As a result, the relations (4, 6) do not hold between the two scale $\sqrt{-D_X}$ and M_{ex} , giving large negative contributions to the third generation sfermion squared masses. Although it is possible to make phenomenologically viable models in this case, we concentrate on the case where Eq. (12) holds with $f_\phi \simeq f_\psi$ and the relations (4, 6) are satisfied at almost all the energy.

In order to generate the complete Yukawa matrices, we have to introduce a field with $U(1)_X$ charge -1 which has a VEV of the order of ξ , since otherwise the mixing between the first-two generations with the $U(1)_X$ charge 1 and the third generation with the $U(1)_X$ charge 0 is not allowed. In the above simplified model, however, the ϕ field has a $U(1)_X$ charge $-4/n_5$, so that we have to choose $n_5 = 4$ resulting in the asymptotic nonfreedom of the SM gauge couplings. Thus, we instead introduce two singlet fields ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 which have $U(1)_X$ charges -1 and $1 - 8/n_5$, respectively.

We, here, construct explicit models taking $n_5 = 2$ for simplicity.⁵ We introduce N_f flavors Q^i and $\bar{Q}_{\bar{i}}$ ($i, \bar{i} = 1, \dots, N_f$) of the $SU(N_c)$ gauge theory ($N_f < N_c$). The

⁵ When $n_5 = 2$, the charge assignment of the $U(1)_X$ for the first-two generations and the additional chiral multiplets is the same as that of a $U(1)$ in E_6 for **27**.

tree-level superpotential is

$$W = \frac{Q^i \bar{Q}_{\bar{i}}}{M_*} \left(f_{\phi_i}^{\bar{i}} \phi_1 \phi_2 + f_{\psi_i}^{\bar{i}} \psi_{\text{ex}} \bar{\psi}_{\text{ex}} \right), \quad (14)$$

and the dynamical superpotential is generated as [13]

$$W_{\text{dyn}} = (N_c - N_f) \left(\frac{\Lambda^{3N_c - N_f}}{QQ} \right)^{\frac{1}{N_c - N_f}}, \quad (15)$$

where Λ is the dynamical scale of the $\text{SU}(N_c)$ gauge theory. The dynamical degrees of freedom can be expressed by meson fields

$$M_i^i = Q^i \bar{Q}_{\bar{i}}. \quad (16)$$

Then, the full superpotential is expressed as

$$W = \frac{1}{M_*} \phi_1 \phi_2 \text{Tr}(f_\phi M) + (N_c - N_f) \left(\frac{\Lambda^{3N_c - N_f}}{\det M} \right)^{\frac{1}{N_c - N_f}}. \quad (17)$$

Here, we have ignored the ψ_{ex} and $\bar{\psi}_{\text{ex}}$ fields which have vanishing VEVs provided that f_ψ is sufficiently larger than f_ϕ . The D -term is

$$-D_X = g_X^2 \left(\xi^2 + 4\text{Tr}\sqrt{M^\dagger M} - |\phi_1|^2 - 3|\phi_2|^2 \right), \quad (18)$$

along the $\text{SU}(N_c)$ flat directions.

The minimum of the potential can be obtained by making an expansion in the parameter $(\Lambda/M_*)^{(3N_c - N_f)/N_c} \ll 1$. To the leading order, the VEVs of the fields ϕ_1 , ϕ_2 , and M at the minimum are given by solving the following equations:

$$|\phi_1|^2 + 3|\phi_2|^2 = \xi^2, \quad (19)$$

$$|\phi_1|^2 \left((N_f - N_c)|\phi_1|^2 + N_f|\phi_2|^2 \right) = 3|\phi_2|^2 \left((N_f - N_c)|\phi_2|^2 + N_f|\phi_1|^2 \right), \quad (20)$$

$$(M^{-1})_{\bar{i}}^i \left(\frac{\Lambda^{3N_c - N_f}}{\det M} \right)^{\frac{1}{N_c - N_f}} = \frac{f_{\phi_i}^{\bar{i}}}{M_*} \phi_1 \phi_2. \quad (21)$$

From Eqs. (19, 20), we find that both ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 fields have nonvanishing VEVs of the order ξ , and the VEV of the M field is given by Eq. (21) as

$$\langle \phi_1 \rangle \simeq \langle \phi_2 \rangle \simeq \xi, \quad \langle M \rangle \simeq \Lambda^{\frac{3N_c - N_f}{N_c}} \left(\frac{M_*}{\xi^2} \right)^{\frac{N_c - N_f}{N_c}}. \quad (22)$$

Then, the complete Yukawa matrices are generated through the VEV of the ϕ_1 field suppressed by the suitable power of $\langle\phi_1\rangle/M_* \simeq \xi/M_*$ [14]. Since we have chosen the Higgs bosons to be neutral under the $U(1)_X$, this explains why the third generation quarks and leptons are much heavier than the first-two generation ones [15].⁶

The auxiliary fields are determined as

$$-F_{\phi_1}^\dagger = \frac{1}{M_*} \phi_2 \text{Tr}(f_\phi M), \quad (23)$$

$$-F_{\phi_2}^\dagger = \frac{1}{M_*} \phi_1 \text{Tr}(f_\phi M), \quad (24)$$

$$-D_X = \frac{2N_f - N_c}{N_c} \frac{1}{\xi^2 M_*^2} (|\phi_1|^2 + |\phi_2|^2) |\text{Tr}(f_\phi M)|^2. \quad (25)$$

From Eq. (25), $2N_f$ must be larger than N_c in order to give the positive SUSY breaking squared masses for the first-two generation sfermions. Inserting Eqs. (22) into Eqs. (23, 24, 25), we get

$$-F_{\phi_1}^\dagger \simeq -F_{\phi_2}^\dagger \simeq \left(\frac{\Lambda^{3N_c - N_f}}{\xi^{2(N_c - N_f)} M_*^{N_f}} \right)^{\frac{1}{N_c}} \xi, \quad -D_X \simeq \left(\frac{\Lambda^{3N_c - N_f}}{\xi^{2(N_c - N_f)} M_*^{N_f}} \right)^{\frac{2}{N_c}}. \quad (26)$$

Note that there are the following useful relations among the D -term and the F -terms:

$$-D_X \simeq \left| \frac{-F_{\phi_1}}{\langle\phi_1\rangle} \right|^2 \simeq \left| \frac{-F_{\phi_2}}{\langle\phi_2\rangle} \right|^2. \quad (27)$$

So far, we have considered that the $U(1)_X$ have an anomaly-free matter content for the SM gauge groups to satisfy the relation (4). However, the $U(1)_X$ has to have anomalies for any gauge groups, so that there must be chiral multiplets χ and $\bar{\chi}$ which carry the $U(1)_X$ anomalies for the SM gauge groups. Since the $U(1)_X$ is broken, these chiral multiplets can get the supersymmetric masses of the order ξ through the coupling with the ϕ (ϕ_1 and/or ϕ_2) fields as

$$W \sim \phi \chi \bar{\chi}, \quad (28)$$

⁶ If we assign the different charges among the first-two generation quarks and leptons, it may be possible to obtain more realistic mass matrices.

provided that these fields are vector-like under the SM gauge groups and have appropriate $U(1)_X$ charges.⁷ Then, the gaugino masses are induced at one-loop level as [16]

$$m_{\tilde{g}} \simeq \frac{\alpha}{4\pi} \left(\frac{-\bar{F}_\phi}{\langle \phi \rangle} \right), \quad (29)$$

where α denotes the SM gauge coupling strength. The sfermion masses of the same order are also generated at two-loop level. This seems the hybrid scenario with the gauge-mediated SUSY breaking. However, the existence of the messenger fields χ and $\bar{\chi}$ is not the extra assumption but the natural consequence of the anomalous $U(1)_X$ gauge symmetry [17].

We finally discuss the soft SUSY breaking parameters. In the present models, there are three contributions, that is, $U(1)_X$ D -term induced m_D , gravity mediated m_F , and gauge mediated m_G pieces,

$$\begin{aligned} m_D &\simeq \sqrt{-D_X}, \\ m_F &\simeq \frac{-\bar{F}_\phi}{M_*} \simeq \frac{\xi}{M_*} \left(\frac{-\bar{F}_\phi}{\langle \phi \rangle} \right) \simeq \frac{\xi}{M_*} m_D, \\ m_G &\simeq \frac{\alpha}{4\pi} \left(\frac{-\bar{F}_\phi}{\langle \phi \rangle} \right) \simeq \frac{\alpha}{4\pi} m_D. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Here, we have used Eq. (27). The SUSY breaking scalar masses for the first-two generations $m_{1,2}$, those for the third generation or Higgs bosons $m_{3,h}$, gaugino masses $m_{\tilde{g}}$, and analytic trilinear couplings A for the sfermions are given by

$$\begin{aligned} m_{1,2}^2 &\simeq m_D^2 + m_F^2 + m_G^2, \\ m_{3,h}^2 &\simeq m_F^2 + m_G^2, \\ m_{\tilde{g}} &\simeq m_G, \\ A &\simeq m_F. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

From Eq. (30), we find that the relation $m_D \gg m_F \simeq m_G$ holds in a generic parameter region. In that case, we obtain phenomenologically desirable spectrum at the low energy, which solves the FCNC and CP problems naturally by making

⁷ It may be inevitable that the squared masses for the third generation sfermions get negative contributions through the RG equations above the decoupling scale of χ and $\bar{\chi}$. However, we can make these negative contributions smaller than the positive contributions induced by the gravity or gauge mediation.

the first-two generation sfermions much heavier than the other superparticles in the MSSM. The point is that this mass spectrum is RG stable, since relations (4, 6) are satisfied due to the extra chiral multiplets ψ_{ex} and $\bar{\psi}_{\text{ex}}$.

In conclusion, we have considered that the soft SUSY breaking scalar masses come from a vacuum expectation value of the D -term for an external U(1) gauge multiplet. Then, if the external gauge symmetry is anomaly-free and has no kinetic mixing with the other U(1) gauge symmetries, the scalar masses are non-renormalized at all orders, assuming that the gaugino masses are negligibly small compared with the scalar masses. Motivated by this, we have constructed the anomalous U(1)_X mediated SUSY breaking models. In a generic parameter region of the models, the sfermion masses for the first-two generations are much heavier than the other superparticles in the MSSM, which solves various flavor problems such as FCNC and CP ones. We hope that the present models give a new possibility in constructing the models which have such a hierarchical mass pattern of superparticles.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank N. Haba and H. Nakano for useful discussions. This work is partially supported in part by Grants-in-aid for Science and Culture Research for Monbusho, No.10740133 and "Priority Area: Supersymmetry and Unified Theory of Elementary Particles (#707)". Y.N. is supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

References

- [1] M. Dine, A. Kagan, and S. Samuel, *Phys. Lett.* **B243** (1990) 250;
S. Dimopoulos and G.F. Giudice, *Phys. Lett.* **B357** (1995) 573;
A. Pomarol and D. Tommasini, *Nucl. Phys.* **B466** (1996) 3;
A. Cohen, D.B. Kaplan, and A.E. Nelson, *Phys. Lett.* **B388** (1996) 588.
- [2] I. Jack, D.R.T. Jones, S.P. Martin, M.T. Vaughn, and Y. Yamada, *Phys. Rev.* **D50** (1994) 5481.
- [3] N. Arkani-Hamed and H. Murayama, *Phys. Rev.* **D56** (1997) 6733;
K. Agashe and M. Graesser, hep-ph/9801446.

- [4] N. Arkani-Hamed, G.F. Giudice, M.A. Luty, and R. Rattazzi, hep-ph/9803290;
N. Arkani-Hamed and R. Rattazzi, hep-ph/9804068.
- [5] P. Binetruy and E. Dudas, *Phys. Lett.* **B389** (1996) 503.
- [6] G. Dvali and A. Pomarol, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77** (1996) 3728;
R.N. Mohapatra and A. Riotto, *Phys. Rev.* **D55** (1997) 1138; *Phys. Rev.* **D55**
(1997) 4262.
- [7] J. Hisano and M. Shifman, *Phys. Rev.* **D56** (1997) 5475.
- [8] J. Wess and J. Bagger, *Supersymmetry and Supergravity*, (Princeton University
Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1992).
- [9] M.B. Green and J.H. Schwarz, *Phys. Lett.* **B149** (1984) 117.
- [10] M. Dine, N. Seiberg, and E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys.* **B289** (1987) 589;
J. Atick, L. Dixon, and A. Sen, *Nucl. Phys.* **B292** (1987) 109;
M. Dine, I. Ichinose, and N. Seiberg, *Nucl. Phys.* **B293** (1987) 253.
- [11] N. Arkani-Hamed, M. Dine, and S.P. Martin, *Phys. Lett.* **B431** (1998) 329.
- [12] T. Barreiro, B. de Carlos, J.A. Casas, and J.M. Moreno, hep-ph/9808244.
- [13] I. Affleck, M. Dine, and N. Seiberg, *Nucl. Phys.* **B256** (1985) 557.
- [14] C.D. Froggatt and H.B. Nielsen, *Nucl. Phys.* **B147** (1979) 277.
- [15] L. Ibanez and G.G. Ross, *Phys. Lett.* **B332** (1994) 100.
- [16] M. Dine and A.E. Nelson, *Phys. Rev.* **D48** (1993) 1277;
M. Dine, A.E. Nelson, and Y. Shirman, *Phys. Rev.* **D51** (1995) 1362;
M. Dine, A.E. Nelson, Y. Nir, and Y. Shirman, *Phys. Rev.* **D53** (1996) 2658.
- [17] G. Dvali and A. Pomarol, *Nucl. Phys.* **B522** (1998) 3;
S. Raby and K. Tobe, hep-ph/9805317.